The climate crisis and scarcity of resources are forcing us to reduce the ecological footprint of architecture and urban development, but this obligation also opens up new opportunities for cultural expression. The principles of circular economy play an important role in approaching strategies to sustainable architecture. The symposium will address this topic on different scales—from building components to the urban fabric—and under two aspects: How can existing materials, buildings, and urban areas be adapted and reused, and how can components, architectural objects, and cities be designed for future reuse and transformation? International experts from the fields of architecture and social ecology will present various concepts and discuss them with the audience.
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We are forced to radically rethink architectural practice, but this also opens up possibilities for a new perspective on change and sustainability. To counteract a number of crises: not only climate change, but also the loss of land for agriculture and environmental services, and the scarcity of natural resources, but also the need for neutral spaces that can accommodate changing uses, while others prefer modular buildings for adaptation right from the beginning? Some argue that new “green and smart” architecture be built in place of buildings with low sustainability standards or is it better to transform “seems to characterize a valid approach to the renovation and re-activation of existing buildings for reuse, the recycling of building materials and strategies of design of components for reuse”.
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